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Abstract—This paper presents the realization of a manufacturing cell developed at the University of Belgrade, School of Electrical Engineering. The manufacturing cell is intended for practical education and research in the field of flexible automation and industrial robotics. It consists of two industrial robots with shared workspace, two conveyor belts, various sensors for object detection, automated storages for workpieces with pneumatic actuators and controller with a user interface. The industrial robots can be used independently for different tasks, or in cooperative action in order to perform assembly, dual-arm manipulation with workpieces and other complex tasks. The versatility of the robotic cell introduces additional unpredictable potential problems, therefore a standalone safety system was introduced to significantly decrease the probability of hazard occurrence. After a hazard identification and risk assessment, the safety system is realized with separate controller and user interface, electromagnetic, electromechanical sensors and laser curtains in order to achieve highest standards in the field of safety.

Index Terms—Industrial robotics; Safety systems;

I. INTRODUCTION

Industrial systems require interaction between humans and machines, in which way, it depends on the functionality of the system. In case of a fully autonomous systems humans have the role to maintenance and diagnostics of the feeder, while in the case of manufacturing line there is a need for cooperative work. Many systems have to accomplish manipulating large scale workpieces, high frequency of processing, managing flammable substances, working with high pressure as well as any other task which might be a laborious work for a human. As demonstrated above, hazardous situations are handed to the robots, while they do enable us to leave the dangerous jobs to them, they also become a threat for the operator. Hence there is a need to implement safety systems in any industrial system or process.

Taking everything into consideration, articulated industrial robots, which are the core components of the flexible robotic cell, must be taken as the primary source of potential safety problems. Robots can produce powerful and very rapid through large operational space. In automated production systems, robots are mainly implemented for assembly, handling, welding and coating tasks. Not only do they take the risk of the workers, but they also increase productivity in heavy industry. However, robots have to be programmed, tested, serviced, fine-tuned, cleaned thus robots can be a menace to workers. Accidents related to industrial robots happen vastly when workers are inside the robots working range. The commonly used and rudimentary safety measure is to build the barrier around the robots. Barriers can be fixed (safety fences) or movable (gate). However, the safety system can be implemented with non-physical safeguards like light curtains, scanners and safety mats.

This paper will cover widely used safety methods implemented with industrial robots, hazard identification and risk assessment for the safety system for flexible robotic cell. Every industrial system is unique, but sources of potential risks are essentially the same. In the course of this discussion, system which will be analysed is the flexible robotic cell developed at the University of Belgrade, School of Electrical Engineering.

In order to evaluate safety requirements, fundamentals of flexible robotic cell are given in the next chapter. In order to secure high safety, iterative procedure should be conducted, which consists of 3 repetitive steps, defining machine limits and specifications, hazard identification and risk estimation. Identification of safety necessities is examined in third chapter, which is the prerequisite for risk assessment considered in forth chapter. Results of these safety preparations present the major guidelines for the implementation of the safety system.

II. FLEXIBLE ROBOTIC CELL

The main components of the robotic cell are two industrial robots, ABB IRB120 and Denso VS6577. In addition to the robots, supplementary components are different types of sensors for data acquisition, central control unit – Programmable Logic Controller (PLC) manufactured by Unitronics and actuators. The positions of the robots are defined in a manner that each robot have their own workspace, as well as an intersecting part of area. Robotic cell design is depicted in figure 1.
The design of the robotic cell provides numerous opportunities, although the drawback is the increased number of possible threats, one of those is aforementioned shared workspace. Facing the robots, there are two conveyor belts powered by two asynchronous motors, manufactured by Motovario, which are vastly used in industrial solutions. Their task is to supply the robots with workpieces. The robots can manage to reach both of the conveyor belts and manipulate with oncoming objects. Taking everything into account, flexibility of this robotic cell can provide many different scenarios found in the process industry, may it be simple as maneuvering objects from one conveyor belt with only one robot, or a more complex approach with robot collaboration.

There are several sensors placed around the conveyor belts, which enrich the system and provide easier object detection and manipulation, such as inductive and photo reflective sensors. Inductive sensors detect presence of metal in workpieces, while photo reflective sensors detect if some workpiece are in some position of interest on conveyers.

In order to achieve synchronized control of every component in the system, robotic cell consists of a Programmable logic controller (PLC), which can congregate every information from the peripherals, and act depending on the outcome. The PLC exchanges information over the Ethernet/IP with all vital devices in the robotic cell (robots, conveyer belts, ...)

III. HAZARD IDENTIFICATION

Every component in a system can cause a system failure or a hazard, and manufacturers of those components are aware of that. What if there is a plethora of combined components working in a well-organized system, then a whole new set of possible hazards would emerge. Aside from component failure, a major safety problem occurs when humans interact with machines, this may be collaborative work, maintenance, testing, cleaning or readjustment. Furthermore, there are additional passive health hazards which can cause severe injuries may it be continuous inhalation of harmful fumes, hearing loss caused by environmental noise, high-pressure induced wounds or radiation.

Hardly can safety systems guarantee complete hazard-free environment, while problems occur as a series of unpredictable events, or as intentional security breach. Every system has weaknesses which should be pinpointed while planning how can safety functions be implemented, and step by step decrease possibility of hazards. Safety functions can be derived from additional safety components or from rudimentary approach as in cable management, proper signalization and warning sign posting. When it comes to industrial robotic incidents are grouped into 4 categories [1]:

- impact or collision accidents,
- crushing and trapping accidents,
- mechanical part accidents,
- other accidents.

Impact accidents are commonly associated with unpredicted movements of robot’s arm and unpredicted change of variables in robot’s program, while crushing accidents have similar causes they involve additional peripheral equipment. The breakdown of the robot’s components or equipment fall within mechanical accidents. All of the above-mentioned accidents can occur for numerous reasons which can be divided into several groups [2]:

- human errors,
- control errors,
- unauthorized access,
- mechanical failures
- environmental sources
- power systems
- improper installation.

Taking everything into consideration how can potential hazards be noticed it is of utmost importance to tackle the problems in order to comply with Serbian regulations [3]. Rule book applies to every type of machines, interchangeable equipment, safety components, lifting accessories, partly completed machinery etc. It is stated that safety components are components which are not necessary for the main system to properly work, while the safety system is a standalone system. Risk assessment is of crucial importance as an initial step to establish requirements for occupational health and safety, as it is prerequisite for design and assembly of the safety system. Besides pinpointing potential hazards which machine can create, benefits of opting for risk estimation process for machines are determination of machine limitations, estimation of possible severity of injury and risk reduction for identified peril areas. In accordance to the safety legislation, improper usage should be foreseen and taken into examination when the system is designed, thus providing an insight into what should an operator do and in which manner will he use the machine. Given the fact that robots can work in different modes, the robotic cell needs to have a clearly indicated mode dial which can be blocked in any position. Safety fence presents the first line of safety, on account of this it should be placed to a safe distance, not to allow any collisions. Residual identified potential hazards which cannot be limited, must be depicted in a comprehensible way and placed to be clearly visible, this applies also to every device used for providing information, may it be a HMI panel or light signalization. Every machine made for use in the industry, must yield a manufacturer manual or user manual with technical documentation.
IV. RISK ASSESSMENT

In order to implement safety functions, initial safety estimation is required for each component of the safety system. Furthermore, in compliance with safety regulations and standards, there are given safety levels which are defining level of risk-reduction. Two international standards will be taken into discussion, EN ISO 13849 and EN IEC 62061 [4]. While both organizations have the same objectives, and they do work together in the field of standardization, safety levels are defined in different way. Both standards define safety level regarding probability of failure per hour. EN ISO 13849 provides safety requirements and guidance on the principles for the design and integration of safety-related parts of control systems [5]. International Organization for Standardization, EN IEC 62061 make their own recommendations for the design, integration and validation of safety-related electrical, electronic and programmable electronic control systems, or as they call them SRECS [6]. IEC standard introduces Safety Integrity Levels, or abbreviated SIL, with a scale from 1 to 3, where level SIL3 represents most risk reducing level. Methodology of risk assessment according to EN ISO 13849 is based on series of safety questions related to the probability of accidents, breakdowns or similar hazards [7]. Initially, it is necessary to establish severity of injuries which can occur while operating the machine, are they reversible injuries (S1) or irreversible injury or death (S2). Following, defining frequency and/or exposure time to the hazard, seldom to quite often and/or the exposure time is short (F1) or frequent to continuous and/or the exposure time is long (F2). Conclusively, determining probability of avoiding the hazard, as for possible under specific conditions (P1) or scarcely possible (P2). Required safety level estimation for the flexible robotic cell is depicted in figure 2, where it is shown that necessary performance level is PL4 per EN ISO 13849 risk assessment methodology.
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Figure 2. Safety level estimation in accordance with EN ISO 13849 standard for the flexible robotic cell

While there exist discrepancies between EN ISO 13849 and EN IEC 6206, they are correlated in the sense that they both refer to probability of dangerous failure per hour [8]. Relationship between Performance Levels and Safety Integrity Levels is given in Table I, as well as probabilities for every given level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EN ISO 13849 Performance Level(PL)</th>
<th>Probability of dangerous failure per hour [1/h]</th>
<th>EN IEC 62061 Safety Integrity Level(SIL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>$\geq 10^{-5} &lt; 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>No special safety requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>$\geq 3 \times 10^{-4} &lt; 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>$\geq 10^{-3} &lt; 3 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>$\geq 10^{-2} &lt; 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e</td>
<td>$\geq 10^{-1} &lt; 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Although these standards refer to every machine, a more robot specific estimation of probability of an accident occurring related to the operation of a robot can be expressed by (1), where all of the split probabilities are described in Table II [9]. $P_{ai}$ is the probability of an accident occurrence from the operation of a robot.
To ensure high level of safety while operating the flexible robotic cell, safety components are introduced which are implemented in the safety system. The core processing component is the programmable logic controller (PLC) with fail-safe processor, providing required safety level and serving as acquisition, control and decision-making component. Peripheral components of the safety system are:
- Emergency stop push button,
- Safety interlock switch with separated activator,
- Magnetic proximity sensor,
- Safety light curtain,
- Signalization lights;

Safety interlock devices are used for detecting if doors are properly closed. Proximity sensors serve the same purpose, although they are implemented on sliding windows, they can provide information regarding the position of the windows, whether they are closed or opened, so we can restrict robots of working in automatic mode. In addition to identifying presence in the robot enclosure, light curtains can protect against access into hazardous point and areas, by detecting if an array of light rays is broken by some object. Light curtains are efficient if the operator has to frequently enter the robot work area and if time management is of vital importance. Emergency stop buttons and signalization lights belong to the group of basic safety components when some problem has happened. Implemented safety components are represented in figure 3.

\[
P_{ar} = \sum \left\{ P_1 + P_2 \left( 1 - P_{11} \right) \right\} \left\{ 1 + P_3 + P_4 \right\} \\
+ \sum \left\{ P_5 + P_6 \left( 1 - P_{11} \right) \right\} \left\{ 1 + P_7 + P_8 \right\} \\
+ \sum \left\{ P_9 + P_{10} \left( 1 - P_{11} \right) \right\}
\]

(1)

V. SAFETY SYSTEM

Implementation of safety systems provide additional security when operating the machine. Hardly can it be neglected, as it is a prerequisite for proper commissioning of the machine. Although it is a tedious task to identify every potential hazard, it certainly is the main pillar for safety system realization. Industrial robots are usually installed inside a guarded work cell, which is the same case with the flexible robotic cell developed at the University of Belgrade, School of Electrical Engineering, however additional safety components were introduced to reduce probability of dangerous failures. Risk assessment has been conducted to evaluate the safety design of the flexible robotic cell. Given the risk estimation results, which concluded that PL4 safety level should be employed. Each component of safety system has to be at least PL4 rated, or SIL 2, so the whole system would be verified as PL4. However, it should be stated that in every system there are some residual risks which cannot be predicted, and human awareness should be increased.

Further research in the field of safety technology can provide new approaches to human-robot co-operation and new types of robot applications.

VI. CONCLUSION

Industrial robots are increasingly becoming a normal sight in industrial facilities. Given the fact that industrial robots can work in dangerous environments, they also contribute to possible hazards for humans. They can move with such a force, or maneuver heavy and sharp objects so which can be harmful for humans. Safety systems can deal with these situations by restricting modes of operations, speed adaptation and managing movement boundaries when humans are in the close proximity to the robot.